SCS Agency Franchise Tax Board

ANALYSIS OF AMENDED BILL

Franchise Tax Doard		
Author: Ackerman	Analyst: Paul Brainin	Bill Number: AB 1694
Related Bills:	Telephone: 845-3380	Amended Date: 03-19-98
	Attorney: Doug Bramhall	Franchise Tax Sponsor: Board
SUBJECT: Partnerships and Limited Liability Companies Return Due Date		
DEPARTMENT AMENDMENTS ACCEPTED. Amendments reflect suggestions of previous analysis of bill as introduced/amended AMENDMENTS IMPACT REVENUE. A new revenue estimate is provided. AMENDMENTS DID NOT RESOLVE THE DEPARTMENT'S CONCERNS stated in the previous analysis of bill as introduced/amended FURTHER AMENDMENTS NECESSARY. DEPARTMENT POSITION CHANGED TO X REMAINDER OF PREVIOUS ANALYSIS OF BILL AS INTRODUCED January 26, 1998, STILL APPLIES.		
X OTHER - See comments below.		
SUMMARY OF BILL		
This bill would amend the Revenue and Taxation Code (R&TC) to establish the due date of the returns for partnerships and limited liability companies (LLCs) as on or before the 15 th day of the fourth month following the close of their taxable or income year. This bill also makes technical changes to clarify that the department may suspend the powers of certain LLCs which fail to pay tax and to reflect the correct cite for the tax and fees on LLCs classified as partnerships by the Personal Income Tax Law (PITL).		
SUMMARY OF AMENDMENT		
The March 19, 1998, amendment adds the technical changes discussed in this analysis. The department's analysis of the bill as introduced January 26, 1998, still		
applies to the provision on due dates.		
DEPARTMENTS THAT MAY BE AFFECTED:		
STATE MANDATE GOVERNOR'S APPOINTMENT		
Board Position: SA O SA OUA NP NA NAR NAR PENDING Date	Agency Secretary Position: S O SA OUA N NP NA NAR DEFER TO Agency Secretary Date	Position Approved Position Disapproved Position Noted By: Date:
Gerald H. Goldberg 4/6/98		•

Assembly Bill 1694 (Ackerman) Amended March 19, 1998 Page 2

EFFECTIVE DATE

This provision would apply to taxable or income years beginning on or after January 1, 1999.

SPECIFIC FINDINGS

Prior to 1996, the taxes and fees on LLCs and other entities classified as partnerships were assessed under the Bank and Corporation Tax Law (B&CTL). However, SB 715 (Ch. 952, Stats. 1996) moved the language imposing taxes and fees on partnerships and LLCs not classified as corporations to the PITL. The B&CTL language remains in the statutes for reference purpose, but was rendered inoperative for taxable years beginning on or after January 1, 1997.

LLCs classified as corporations are subject to the applicable corporate franchise and income taxes, including the corporate requirement to prepay the minimum tax to the Secretary of State. LLCs not classified as corporations are subject to the PITL annual LLC tax of \$800 an to an annual fee based on total income reportable to California.

Under current B&CTL, the Franchise Tax Board may suspend the powers of any corporation that files a return, but fails to pay its tax; or that receives a notice and demand from the FTB for tax, penalty, or interest due, and fails to pay the required amounts. If suspended, the corporation is not entitled to retain its own name, does not have the right to defend itself in court, and does not have the right to file a protest or appeal with the FTB or BOE with respect to matters causing the suspension.

State law, as enacted in SB 469 (Stats. 1994, Ch. 1200), provided that LLCs not classified as a corporation for California tax purposes also are subject to suspension of their powers if they fail to pay tax, penalties or interest due.

This bill would correct a technical oversight in SB 715 (Stats. 1996, Ch. 952) and ensure that the department continues to have the ability to suspend the powers of an LLC not classified as a corporation for California tax purposes that fails to pay its tax.

This bill would add to the law regarding filing requirements of LLCs classified as partnerships a reference to the chapter of the PITL that governs the tax and fees on LLCs classified as partnerships pursuant to SB 715 (Stats. 1996, Ch. 952).

Implementation Considerations

Implementation of this bill would not significantly impact the department.

FISCAL IMAPCT

Departmental Costs

This bill would not significantly impact the department's costs.

Assembly Bill 1694 (Ackerman) Amended March 19, 1998 Page 3

BOARD POSITION

Support.

The Franchise Tax Board voted to sponsor this language in its meeting on November 17, 1997.